9 Springer-Verlag 1988

Effect of the orientation of coordinated water molecules on the electronic structure of trans halo-aquo complexes: application to $\text{TiCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})^+_4$ and $\text{VCI}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})^+_4$

M. A. Makhyoun*

Chemistry Department, Kuwait University, 13060 Kuwait

(Received July 20, revised October 24 and December 7/Accepted December 8, 1987)

The X_{α} method has been utilized to calculate the electronic structure of trans-TiCl₂(H₂O)^{$+$} and trans VCl₂(H₂O)^{$+$} ions. Different conformations of coordinated water molecules have been considered. It has been found that the most stable configuration in both cases is the one with the plane of the water molecules parallel to the Cl-metal-C1 axis. The nature of the tetragonally distorted ground state has been found to depend on the orientation of the water molecules in these systems.

Key words: Multiple scattering $X\alpha$ —Ligand field theory—Angular overlap model-Trans halo-aquo complexes

Introduction

The trans- $(MX_2(H_2O)_4)^{n+}$ configuration is quite common among the first transition series (1). The crystal structure of a considerable number of compounds belonging to this interesting class have been determined by X-ray diffraction $(1-2)$ and found to have almost perfect D_{4h} symmetry for the chromophore $MCl₂O₄$. In most cases the location of the hydrogen atoms of the coordinated water molecules was guessed, based on the number and strength of hydrogen bonds which may be formed with the surrounding negative centers. For example

^{} Present address:* Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

in the compound $Cs_2CrCl₅·4H₂O$ containing the trans-CrCl₂(H₂O)⁺₄ configuration, the orientation of the water molecules has been assumed to be parallel to the C1-Cr-C1 axis (1) since in this arrangement hydrogen bonding is possible to eight nearby Cl^- ions.

In D_{4h} the $t_{2g}(O_h)$ representation splits into $e_g + b_{2g}$, while the $e_g(O_h)$ representation splits into $a_{1g} + b_{1g}$, so that the ground state for d^n systems in this environment will depend on the energy order of the split components. There is a controversy from the theoretical point of view regarding the nature of the ground state in D_{4h} symmetry. Figgis et al. [4] were unable to distinguish between a ${}^4A_{2g}$ and a $^{4}E_{g}$ ground state for trans-CoCl₂(H₂O)₄, and for TiCl₂(H₂O)₄, Schläfer and Fritz [5] proposed a ${}^{2}B_{2g}$ ground state while McCarthy and Richardson [6] suggest a $^{2}E_{g}$ ground state. There is now much experimental data favouring the energy order e_g $(d_{xz}, d_{yz}) < b_{2g}(d_{xy})$ in the case of TiCl₂(H₂O)⁺</sup> [6], VCl₂(H₂O)⁺ [3], $CrCl₂(\tilde{H}₂O)⁺₄$ [1] and $CoCl₂(H₂O)₄$ [7]. In such systems the ordering $e_g < b_{2g}$ a_{1g} $\lt b_{1g}$ has been explained in terms of the position of the Cl⁻ in the spectrochemical series relative to H₂O.

In this work, the effect of the orientation of the coordinated water molecules on the nature of the ground state in the complexes $TiCl_2(H_2O)_4^+$ and $VCI_2(H_2O)_4^+$ will be investigated theoretically using the SCF-MS $X\alpha$ (self-consistent field multiple scattering X_{α}) method; this has not been considered before in the ligand field treatment of these systems.

Method of calculations

The updated version of the SCF MS-X α method [8] has been used to calculate the electronic structure of trans-TiCl₂(H₂O)⁺</sup> (spin restricted calculations) and $VC1₂(H₂O)₄⁺$ (spin polarized calculations). The exchange alpha parameters invoked around each atomic region were chosen in accordance with the Schwarz values [9], whereas a weighted average of the atomic alpha values was used in outerspherical and interspherical regions. The Norman criteria [10] were used in choosing the atomic radii scaled by a factor of 0.88. Around each of the C1 atomic spheres, an l_{max} of 2 was used, and an l_{max} of 4, 3, 1 and 0 was invoked around the outerspherical region, the metal atom, the oxygen atoms and the hydrogen atoms respectively. The valence shells in this calculation include: Metal center $(3p, 3d, 4s)$; Cl $(3s, 3p, 3d)$; O $(2s, 2p)$ and H $(1s)$.

Geometries for $\text{VCI}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$ and $\text{TiCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$ are based upon X-ray data [3, 6] of the main atoms, with the O-H bond length and H-O-H bond angle being estimated values; a summary of the geometry and sphere radii adopted in this study is given in Table 1. In this calculation different orientations of the water molecules around the M-O bond axis have been considered. We define β (degrees) to be the angle between the plane of any of the coordinated water molecules and the plane containing the metal ion and the four oxygen atoms $(MO₄$ plane). Different configurations were obtained by changing this angle from 0° to 90° for all the water molecules at the same time so that the D_4 symmetry of the molecule is preserved; (however only two configurations have the higher *D4h* symmetry, namely when $\beta = 0^\circ$ and 90°. Rotating the four water molecules by the same angle

Effect of orientation of coordinated water molecules

Bond lengths and angles		
$Ti-O$ 2.04	$Ti-Cl$ 2.40	<cl−ti∸cl 180°</cl−ti∸cl
\langle Cl-Ti-O 90°	\leq 0-Ti-O 90°	
$V-O$ 1.969	$V - C1 2.477$	<cl-v-cl 180°</cl-v-cl
\langle Cl-V-O 90 \degree	$<$ O-V-O 90°	
$O-H$ 0.86	<h-0-h 107°</h-0-h 	
Sphere radii		
$TiCl2(H2O)4$	$VCl2(H2O)4$	
1.3029 Ti	1.2536 V.	
0.8603 Ο.	0.8571 Ω.	
Cl 1.4558	Cl 1.4464	
0.5269 H.	0.5269 H.	
Outer sphere	Outer sphere	
3.8560	3.8234	
Watson sphere	Watson sphere	
3.8560	3.8234	
-1	$^{-1}$	

Table 1. Geometry and sphere radii of trans-TiCl₂(H₂O)⁺ and trans- $VCl₂(H₂O)₄⁺$. (values are in Angströms)

is only a matter of convenience, and avoids the other possible configurations which have lower symmetry than D_4 . Six different angles have been used in this work: $\beta = 0^{\circ}$, 22.5°, 34°, 45°, 67.5° and 90° for titanium complex and $\beta = 0^{\circ}$, 22.5°, 45° , 56° , 67.5° and 90° for the vanadium complex.

Results and discussion

a. TiCl₂ $(H_2O)_4^+$

The total energy E_T , the total energy relative to the most stable configuration ΔE_T (for $\beta = 90^\circ$) and the energy gap ($\varepsilon_{b2}-\varepsilon_e$) are listed in Table 2. There is a change in the nature of the ground state when β is changed from 0° to 90°. In the range 0-34 $^{\circ}$ the d-like MO b_2 has a lower energy than the e MO and so leads to a ${}^{2}B_{2}$ ground state, whereas the reverse situation is found in the range β = 45-90°, resulting in a ²E ground state. There is an intermediate point (estimated to be at $\beta = 41.3^{\circ}$) where the two energy levels (b₂ and e) are accidentally degenerate. This trend is also illustrated in Fig. 1c. The plot of ΔE_T against β in Fig. la indicates the presence of two potential energy curves corresponding to the two possible ground states ${}^{2}B_{2}$ and ${}^{2}E$, with minimum energies at 0° and 90 \degree respectively. The minimum at $0\degree$ occurs at 6.1 kJ/mol above the stable configuration with $\beta = 90^\circ$.

Such behaviour can be adequately explained using the angular overlap model (12) for the d-like MOs in this system $(e, b_2, a_1 \text{ and } b_1)$. An sp^2 hybridization is expected around oxygen in a coordinated water molecule, leaving one p orbital available for π -bonding with the *d* orbitals $(d_{xz}, d_{yz}$ or d_{xy}) of the metal ion, its orientation being perpendicular to the molecular plane of water. The rotation of H₂O around the M-O bond axis can also be viewed as a rotation of the oxygen

β	Ground state	E_{τ} Rydbergs	ΔE_T kJ/mol	$(\varepsilon_{b2} - \varepsilon_e)$ cm^{-1}
0.0°	${}^2B_{2g}$	-4148.8484	6.14	-3043
22.5°	2B_2	-4148.8437	12.471	-1966
34.0°	2B_2	-4148.8395	17.98	-820
45.0°	^{2}E	-4148.8457	9.845	422
67.5°	2E	-4148.8523	1.181	2642
90.0°	$^{2}E_{g}$	-4148.8532	0.0	3530
	Excited states for $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ (cm ⁻¹)			
		Calculated ^a		Experimental $[6]$
		3530		4250
${}^2B_{2g} \leftarrow {}^2E_g$ ${}^2A_{1g} \leftarrow {}^2E_g$		16240	14970	
${}^2B_{1g} \leftarrow {}^2E_g$		19500	19220	

Table 2. Total energy E_T , relative total energy ΔE_T and the energy gap $(\varepsilon_{b2}-\varepsilon_e)^a$ at different β values for TiCl₂(H₂O)⁺</sup>

 a^2 Calculated according to the transition state procedure (11)

 p_{π} orbital around the same axis. For any arbitrary angle β the oxygen p_{π} orbital **can be resolved into two directions, one parallel to the CI-M-C1 axis and the** other perpendicular to it, whereupon the angular overlap model in $D₄$ symmetry **yields energies of the d-like MOs (apart from a constant term):**

$$
e(d_{xz}, d_{yz}) : 2e_\pi(\mathcal{O})\cos^2\beta + 2e_\pi(\mathcal{C}l)
$$
\n⁽¹⁾

Fig. 1. a Variation of the X_{α} total energy ΔE_T relative to the configuration with β = 90° for TiCl₂(H₂O)⁺; **b** Variation of the X_{α} total energy ΔE_T relative to the configuration with $\beta = 90^\circ$ for $\text{VCI}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$;. c X_{α} eigenvalues of the d-like MO's of TiCl₂(H₂O)⁺ as function of β

Effect of orientation of coordinated water molecules 161

$$
b_2(d_{xy}) : 4e_{\pi}(O)\sin^2\beta \tag{2}
$$

$$
a_1(d_{22})\colon e_{\sigma}(O) + 2e_{\sigma}(Cl) \tag{3}
$$

$$
b_1(d_{x2-y2}) : 3e_{\sigma}(0) \tag{4}
$$

where $e_{\mu}(\mu = \sigma, \pi, \ldots)$ is a parameter introduced by the angular overlap model (12-14) and depends only on the radial functions of the metal and ligands and on the bond distance—it is independent of angular quantities.

The relation $10Dq(H₂O) > 10Dq(Cl⁻)$ can be deduced from the position of these ligands in the spectrochemical series. Since *lODq* is related to the angular overlap parameters by the equation $10Dq(X) = 3e_q(X) - 4e_q(X)$, and assuming $e_q(X)$ $e_{\pi}(X)$ which is generally true [12]), one expects that $e_{\sigma}(O) > e_{\sigma}(Cl)$, and even if $e_{\pi}(O) \sim e_{\pi}(Cl)$, the following energy order of the d-like MOs can be obtained from Eqs $(1-4)$:

$$
\beta = 90^{\circ} \quad e_g < b_{2g} < a_{1g} < b_{1g} \text{ with } ^2E_g \text{ ground state for } d^1 \text{ system,}
$$
\n
$$
\beta = 0^{\circ} \quad b_{2g} < e_g < a_{1g} < b_{1g} \text{ with } ^2B_{2g} \text{ ground state for } d^1 \text{ system.}
$$

This is what we have found from the X α calculations of TiCl₂(H₂O)⁺. On the basis of this model (Eqs. 3, 4) we can also explain why the a_1 and b_1 orbitals are not affected by altering the angle β , since these orbitals are involved only in a σ type interaction with the surrounding ligands.

In this system we have three possible $d-d$ transitions. If the $X\alpha$ transition energies of the excited states (${}^{2}B_{2}$ or ${}^{2}E$), ${}^{2}A_{1}$ and ${}^{2}B_{1}$ are equated to the angular overlap energies (Eqs. 1-4), the following radial parameters can be obtained from a fit at different β values (all in cm⁻¹): $e_{\pi}(O) = 1089 \pm 19$, $e_{\pi}(Cl) = 379 \pm 22$ (from $(\epsilon_{b2}-\epsilon_e)$ values (Table 2)), $e_{\sigma}(O)= 6750$ and $e_{\sigma}(Cl)= 5120$ (from the energies of the ² A_{1g} and ² B_{1g} excited states ($\beta = 90^{\circ}$), using the average e_{π} (CI) given above), and $10D_a(H₂O) = 18 080$, $10D_q(Cl) = 16 410$.

These parameters are in agreement with the relative positions of H_2O and $Cl^$ as predicted by the spectrochemical series.

Detailed analysis of the polarized crystal spectrum of $Cs_2TiCl₅·4H₂O$ [6] has indicated that the complex has a 2E_g ground state, and the most stable configuration predicted by the X_α calculation at $\beta = 90^\circ$ agrees well with this. The X_α calculated transition energies for $\beta = 90^\circ$ are also in good agreement with the experimental values (Table 2).

b. $VC1_2(H_2O)₄$

Polarised X_α calculations have been performed on trans-VCl₂(H₂O)⁺ (a d² system) and the resulting plot of ΔE_T against β shown in Fig. 1b indicates a behaviour similar to that found in titanium complex. Again we have two ground states, ${}^3A_{2g}(e_g^2)$ at $\beta = 90^\circ$ and 3E_g (b_{2g}, e_g) at $\beta = 0^\circ$, and two minima separated by 43.7 kJ/mol. An accidental degeneracy of the e and b_2 orbitals is estimated to occur at $\beta = 45.7^{\circ}$ in VCl₂(H₂O)⁺₄. In these calculations, the most stable ground state for this complex is found to be of ${}^3A_{2g}$ symmetry in agreement with experiment [3], and corresponds to the configuration e_{α}^2 with $\beta = 90^{\circ}$. For the d^2 case it seems that a simple approach based on the angular overlap model can explain the stability of the configuration e_g^2 ($\beta = 90^\circ$) over the configuration b_{2g} , e_g ($\beta = 0^\circ$) as indicated in Fig. 1b. For these configurations, using Eqs. (1) and (2) and expressing electron repulsion integrals in terms of Racah parameters we get:

$$
E_T(e_g^2) \approx \text{constant term} + 4e_\pi(\text{Cl}) + A - 5B \tag{5}
$$

$$
E_T(b_{2g}, e_g) \approx \text{constant term} + 2e_\pi(\text{O}) + 2e_\pi(\text{Cl}) + A - 5B \tag{6}
$$

Within this approximation the results appear to indicate that the configuration $e_{g}^{2}(\beta=90^{\circ})$ is more stable than the b_{2g} , $e_{g}(\beta=0^{\circ})$ configuration for $e_{\pi}(0)$ e_{π} (Cl), a point which is confirmed from the X_{α} calculations.

The X_{α} calculated energies of the spin triplet excited states for the configuration with $\beta = 90^\circ$ are compared with available experimental data [3] in Table 3. The comparison shows that the polarised X_{α} method can provide good estimate for such transitions; note that these transitions (except the first one) are not expected to be affected greatly by the variation in β . The first transition in trans- $\text{VCL}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$, namely ${}^3E_g(e_g, b_{2g}) \leftarrow {}^3A_{2g}(e_g^2)$, was not observed experimentally, but was estimated to be only 565 cm⁻¹ [3]. From the magnetic anisotropy measurements carried out in the range 1.5-20 K on a single crystal of $Cs₃VCl₆·4H₂O$ [15], McCarthy et al. [3] were able to estimate the value 1357 cm^{-1} as an upper limit for the tetragonal splitting of the ${}^{3}T_{1g}(O_h)$ in this system. A theoretically calculated value of 3510 cm^{-1} was found in this work for the previously mentioned tetragonal splitting in VCl₂(H₂O)⁺ with β = 90°. Since our calculations have been

Table 3. Total energy E_T , relative total energy ΔE_T and the energy gap $(\epsilon_{b2} \uparrow - \epsilon_e \uparrow)^a$ at different β values for VCI₂(H₂O)⁺₄

β	Ground	E_{τ}	ΔE_T	$(\varepsilon_{b2} \uparrow - \varepsilon_e \uparrow)$
	state	Rydbergs	kJ/mol	cm^{-1}
0.0°	${}^3E_{\rm g}$	-4337.6243	43.68	-5046
22.5°	3E	-4337.6223	45.55	-3499
45.0°	3E	-4337.6135	57.10	173
56.0°	3A_2	-4337.6381	24.80	1290
67.5°	3A_2	-4337.6524	6.17	2522
90.0°	A_{2g}	-4337.6570	0.0	3510
	Excited states for $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ (cm ⁻¹)			
		Excited config.	Calculated ^a	Experimental [3]
³ $E_g \leftarrow {}^3A_{2g}$		$e_{g}b_{2g}$	3510	
${}^3E_8 + {}^3A_{2g}$		$e_{\rm g}a_{\rm 1g}$	15208	16430
${}^3B_{2g} \leftarrow {}^3A_{2g}$		$b_{2g}a_{1g}$	17953	
${}^3E_g + {}^3A_{2g}$		$e_{g}b_{1g}$	18311	23240
$^{3}A_{2g} \leftarrow ^{3}A_{2g}$		$b_{2g}b_{1g}$	21157	25000
${}^3B_{1g} \leftarrow {}^3A_{2g}$		$a_{1g}b_{1g}$	36264	32820

^a Calculated according to the transition state procedure [11]

	$TiCl2(H2O)4+$	$VCl2(H2O)+4$	$TiCl(H, O)42+$
Nuclear-nuclear repulsion	-1148.04	-1232.83	-1148.04
Nuclear-electron attraction	$+2037.22$	$+1630.30$	$+2520.28$
Electron-electron repulsion	-886.56	-505.89	-1388.38
Electron exchange	-7.11	-49.13	-8.05
Kinetic energy	$+10.63$	$+201.23$	$+55.13$
ΔE_{τ}	$+6.14$	$+43.68$	$+30.94$

Table 4. Different energy terms contributing to ΔE_T at $\beta = 0^\circ$ (all in kJ/mol)

performed for the isolated complex ion, the results of such calculations are not always expected to resemble the situation in the solid state, especially when the results are sensitive to the orientation of the coordinated water molecules. Thus the most stable configuration should also depend on the arrangements of the surrounding ions and the possible intramolecular hydrogen bonds that may be formed within the lattice. Good agreement between theory and experiment can be obtained for the tetragonal splitting of the ³ $T_{1g}(O_h)$ term in VCl₂(H₂O)⁺ if we assume that $50^{\circ} < \beta < 67.5^{\circ}$ for the stable configuration of this complex ion **in the solid state (see Table 3).**

In Table 4 the decomposition of ΔE_T ($\beta = 0^\circ$) into its different interaction energy terms is given for $TiCl₂(H₂O)₄⁺$, $VCI₂(H₂O)₄⁺$ and the hypothetical complex $Ticl_2(H_2O)_4^{2+}$. The calculations for the latter complex (a d^0 system) have been performed to show that the stability of these systems for $\beta = 90^\circ$ is not due **primarily to the electrons occupying the d-like MOs, but is due to an overall electronic effect. An inspection of Table 4 reveals that the stability arises mainly from the contribution of the nuclear-electron attraction term, with most of the** other terms destabilizing this particular configuration ($\beta = 90^\circ$) relative to that with $\beta = 0^\circ$.

References

- 1. McCarthy PJ, Lauffenburger JC, Skonezny PM (1981) Inorg Chem 20:1566
- 2. Colton R, Canterford JH (1969) **Halides of the first row transition metals. Wiley, New** York
- 3. McCarthy PJ, Lauffenburger JC, Schreiner MM (1981) Inorg Chem 20:1571
- 4. Figgis BN, Gerloch M, Mabbs FE, Webb GA (1968) J Chem Soc A 2086
- 5. Schl/ifer HL, Fritz HP (1967) **Spectrochim Acta** 23A:1409
- 6. McCarthy PJ, Richardson MF (1983) Inorg Chem 22:2979
- 7. Ferguson J, Wood TE (1975) Inorg Chem 14:184
- 8. Cook M, Case DA (1982) QCPE 14:465
- 9. Schwarz K (1972) **Phys Rev** B5:2466
- 10 Norman JG Jr (1974) J **Chem Phys** 61:4360
- l 1. Slater JC (1972) **Adv Quantum Chem** 6:1
- 12. Gerloch M, Slade RC (1973) **Ligand-field parameters. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge**
- 13. Warren KD (1977) Inorg Chem 16:2008
- 14. Burdett JK (1980) **Molecular shapes. Wiley, New** York
- 15. Carlin RL, O'Connor CJ, **Bhatia** SN (1976) Inorg Chem 15:985